

## Hypothesis testing about the mean of a population

Sample of 2234 persons in Nicaragua, income per capita in 1998 in córdobas (C\$) (1998 US\$1~ C\$10)

```
. sum i_income urban indigenou
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
i_income	2234	5369.052	7533.916	5.409909	168552.9
urban	2234	.5022381	.5001069	0	1
indigenou	2234	.045658	.2087891	0	1

### 1. Testing about the mean in a population

The conjecture is that the average income per capita in the population is 5000 córdobas. Is there statistical evidence to the contrary in our sample?  $H_0$  is presumed correct until we find statistical evidence against it.

a. Set the hypotheses

*Null hypothesis* on the mean in the population:  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0 = 5000$  córdobas (with unknown variance  $\text{var}(X)$ )

*Alternative hypothesis*  $H_1: \mu > 5000$  córdobas (We don't even consider the values  $< 5000$ )

Under  $H_0$ , and for large sample, the sample mean  $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu_0, \text{var}(\bar{X}))$ ,

$$\text{or } \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\text{sd}(\bar{X})} \sim N(0,1), \text{ with } \text{sd}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\text{sd}(X)}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\text{or } \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\text{se}(\bar{X})} \sim t_{n-1}, \text{ where } \text{se}(\bar{X}) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ is an estimation of } \text{sd}(\bar{X})$$

Observations on a large sample of  $n = 2234$  persons gives:  $\text{se}(\bar{X}) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{7534}{\sqrt{2234}} = 159.4$

b. Compute the *t*-statistic:  $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\text{se}(\bar{X})} = t_{2233} = \frac{5369 - 5000}{159.4} = 2.31$

c. Choose a *level of significance*

Lookup the *critical value*  $c$  for this level:  $\begin{array}{ll} 5\% & c = 1.645 \\ 1\% & c = 2.326 \end{array}$

d. Reject  $H_0$  if  $t_{2233} > c$

→ *Reject*  $H_0$  at the 5% significance level, because  $t = 2.31 > 1.645$

→ *Fail to reject*  $H_0$  at the 1% significance level, because  $t = 2.31 < 2.326$

e. Conclude: At the 5% significance level, there is statistical evidence that the income per capita is higher than 5000 córdobas.

At the 1%, there is no statistical evidence that income per capita is not equal to 5000 córdobas

Level of significance = probability to reject  $H_0$  when in fact it is true.

### Two-side alternative hypothesis:

a. Null hypothesis:  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0 = 5000$  córdobas with unknown variance  $\text{var}(X)$  for  $X$

Alternative  $H_1: \mu \neq 5000$  córdobas

b. Compute the t-statistic:  $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\text{se}(\bar{X})} = t_{2233} = \frac{5369 - 5000}{159.4} = 2.31$

c. Choose the significance level and the corresponding critical value:  
 significance level 5%       $c = 1.96$

d. Reject H0 at the 5% significant level

e. There is statistical evidence that income per capita is not equal to 5000 Cordobas

## 2. Testing for the equality in means in two populations.

**Is the income per capita in rural areas equal to that in urban areas?**

### Urban

. sum urban i\_income if urban==1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
urban	1122	1	0	1	1
i_income	1122	7061.576	8973.852	128.6425	168552.9

### Rural

. sum urban i\_income if urban==0

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
urban	1112	0	0	0	0
i_income	1112	3661.307	5197.585	5.409909	79047.75

For large samples,  $\bar{X}_u \sim N(\mu_u, \text{var}(\bar{X}_u))$ ,  $\bar{X}_r \sim N(\mu_r, \text{var}(\bar{X}_r))$ , and thus

$$\bar{X}_u - \bar{X}_r \sim N(\mu_u - \mu_r, \text{var}(\bar{X}_u - \bar{X}_r))$$

$$\bar{X}_u - \bar{X}_r = 7061.6 - 3661.3 = 3400.3$$

$$\widehat{\text{var}}(\bar{X}_u - \bar{X}_r) = \widehat{\text{var}}(\bar{X}_u) + \widehat{\text{var}}(\bar{X}_r) = \frac{s_u^2}{n_u} + \frac{s_r^2}{n_r} = \frac{5198^2}{1112} + \frac{8974^2}{1122} = 96074 \rightarrow \text{se}(\bar{X}_u - \bar{X}_r) = \sqrt{96074} = 310$$

a. Null hypothesis:      H0:  $\Delta = \mu_u - \mu_r = 0$

Alternative              H1:  $\Delta > 0$

b.  $t_{1122+1112-2} = \frac{3400.3-0}{310} = 10.97$  follows a  $t$  distribution with  $n_u + n_r - 2$  degrees of freedom

c. Choose the significance level and the corresponding critical value:  
 significance level 1%       $c = 2.33$

d. Reject H0 at the 1% significance level that urban and rural per capita incomes are equal in favor of urban income per capita being larger than rural income per capita.

e. There is statistical evidence that urban income per capita is larger than rural income per capita

**Always use the 5 steps in hypothesis testing**