

Integrated Poverty Assessment for Livestock Policy

FAO Seminar on HPAI
FAO Resident Mission, Ha Noi
13 June 2006

David Roland-Holst
Joachim Otte &
Saule Kazybayeva



A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative




Contents

1. Poverty, Livestock & Livelihoods
2. IPALP Methodology
3. IPALP Applications
4. Conclusions & Discussion



1. The Extent of **Extreme Poverty**



- Globally 1.2 billion **extreme** poor (<1USD/day)
- 800 million **extreme** poor in agriculture
- 600 million **extremely** poor livestock keepers




IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



Livestock and Livelihoods



Livestock: Economic Perspective

- More income from **natural resources** through:
 - Access to common land resources
 - Utilization of marginal resources, e.g. 'waste land' not suitable for crops
 - Re-cycling crop by-products
 - Increase in output of crop production (fertilizer)
 - Savings
- More income from **family labour** through:
 - Better use of heterogeneous labour resources
 - Balance seasonal labour demand for crop farming
 - Use of labour for processing of primary products (value added capture)
 - Release labour for more productive purposes (animal traction)



The Role of Economic Analysis

Detailed economic analysis can support policy and complement technical assistance in three ways:

- Improve visibility and strengthen policy dialogue about economic fundamentals, including
 - ✓ Trends
 - ✓ Heterogeneity/complexity
 - ✓ Linkages
- Enable more effective targeting
 - ✓ Identification of stakes and stakeholders
 - ✓ Recruitment of beneficiaries to support more effective policy, and
 - ✓ Anticipation of adjustment needs for others.
- Facilitate assessment, *ex ante*, *ex post*, and during the course of projects.



2. IPALP: Introduction


- To support the larger agenda of its Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative (PPLPI), FAO has developed a research facility to evaluate economic effects of livestock and policies related to them.
- Integrated Poverty Assessment of Livestock Policy (IPALP) is a suite of analytical methods that elucidate local incidence of national and regional policies toward the livestock sector.
- Among the livestock policies to which IPALP will be addressed are animal health and disease control strategies, including HPAI.



IPALP: Structure

Livestock will help the poor if its adoption is compatible with local responses to regional and national economic conditions and incentives.

To capture linkages across the economy and from the top down, a four-fold modeling framework is used. Each of these four modules has now been developed in prototype form.




IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



IPALP: Detailed Methodology



IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



IPALP: Components

1. Data development

A comprehensive inventory of data related to the overall economy, including macro and micro information, with particular reference to rural conditions and the livestock sector.

2. Policy Modelling

With a highly disaggregated dynamic CGE forecasting model, a baseline scenario for growth is compared to a variety of national policy scenarios, including PPLPI, generic development strategies, trade policy, WTO accession, market reform, tax policies, etc.

3. Living Standards Assessment

Using the microeconomic results obtained from the previous two components, we will apply state of the art assessment tools to evaluate the effects of PPLPI and other policies on poverty, inequality, and other living standard and human development indicators.

4. Digital Mapping

GIS mapping is applied to data on initial conditions and results of policy simulations. This synoptic economic atlas provides a transparent set of assessments that can be widely disseminated and compared across case studies.




3. IPALP Applications

1. Initial conditions: Vietnam
2. Linkage analysis: Senegal
3. Policy simulation:
 - Livestock promotion
 - Market access



3.1 Livestock Ownership in Vietnam



Source: 1998 VLSS




IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



Vietnam: Poultry Income




Poultry income is far more equitably distributed than total income !



Livestock and Savings

Pigs are important to both income and savings,
cattle/buffalo more to savings



Vertical axes measure Buffalo and Pig asset values as a multiple of HH income.



IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



Commercialization of Rural Production


Quintile	1993			1998		
	Marketed Share in		Monetized Income	Marketed Share in		Monetized Income
	Crop Output	Ag. Output		Crop Output	Ag. Output	
Poorest	23	34	53	30	33	57
2	26	29	56	37	46	67
3	31	43	66	39	46	72
4	35	45	71	44	51	78
Richest	42	49	74	50	56	85
Total	30	38	63	38	45	70

Source: VLSS

Subsistence rates are high, therefore the marginal income effect of higher productivity will be greater, the poorer the household.



Reasons for Improved Welfare



Source: IFPRI



IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva


Source: IFPRI

A Living from Livestock

Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



Usefulness of Public Assistance



Source: IFPRI



IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva


A Living from Livestock

Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



Likelihood of Marketing Livestock by NMR Households (Logit regression results)

Significance of Remittance Income and Purchased Inputs reveals a cash constraint in livestock promotion.




3.2 Linkage Analysis with SAMs

- With Social Accounting Matrices (SAMs), multiplier analysis is a convenient way to examine livestock's linkages across the economy.
- We have developed five SAMs for Vietnam and three for Senegal, working with different aggregations to focus on a variety of income-expenditure linkages.



Multiplier Linkages to Households

Vietnam (Vn) and Senegal (Sn) Compared



IPALP


Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock

Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



Absolute and Relative Income Effects from Livestock



Path Decomposition (1): Rural

Target	<=Sector1	<=Sector2	<=Sector3	<=Sector4	Global	Local	Percent	Total
HRur01	Lvst				1.6	8.7	77.2	77.2
	ProcMeat	Lvst					4.1	81.3
	Mill	Lvst					3.5	84.8
	OtProcFd	ProcMeat	Lvst				1.8	86.6
	Mill	ProcMeat	Lvst				1.6	88.2
	OtProcFd	Lvst					0.8	89
	HotelRest	ProcMeat	Lvst				0.7	89.7

Target	<=Sector1	<=Sector2	<=Sector3	<=Sector4	Global	Local	Percent	Total
HRur02	Lvst				4.1	9.8	81.5	81.5
	ProcMeat	Lvst					4.7	86.2
	OtProcFd	ProcMeat	Lvst				2.8	89
	Mill	Lvst					1.6	90.6
	OtProcFd	Lvst					1.3	91.9
	HotelRest	ProcMeat	Lvst				1.1	93
	Mill	ProcMeat	Lvst				0.7	93.7

- Individual global effects are aggregations of extended income-expenditure chains across the economy.



Path Decomposition (2): Rural

Target	<=Sector1	<=Sector2	<=Sector3	<=Sector4	Global	Local	Percent	Total
HRur03	Lvst				5.4	8.8	76.9	76.9
	ProcMeat	Lvst					6.1	83
	HotelRest	ProcMeat	Lvst				4.8	87.8
	OtProcFd	ProcMeat	Lvst				2.4	90.2
	Mill	Lvst					2	92.2
	OtProcFd	Lvst					1.1	93.3
	HotelRest	Lvst					1	94.3
	Mill	ProcMeat	Lvst				0.9	95.2

Target	<=Sector1	<=Sector2	<=Sector3	<=Sector4	Global	Local	Percent	Total
HRur04	Lvst				8.7	3.2	81.9	81.9
	ProcMeat	Lvst					6.5	88.4
	OtProcFd	ProcMeat	Lvst				1.7	92.7
	Mill	Lvst					1.1	89.4
	HotelRest	ProcMeat	Lvst				1.1	94
	OtProcFd	Lvst					0.8	90.2
	Mill	ProcMeat	Lvst				0.5	91

- Higher income groups generally have more indirect linkages to livestock income.



Path Decomposition (3): Rural

Target	<=Sector1	<=Sector2	<=Sector3	<=Sector4	Global	Local	Percent	Total
HRur05	Lvst				36.3	2.4	1.7	1.7
	ProcMeat	Lvst					28.9	30.6
	OtProcFd	ProcMeat	Lvst				6.6	37.2
	HotelRest	ProcMeat	Lvst				6.4	43.6
	PublServ	Labor	HUrb02	ProcMeat			3.9	47.5
	PublServ	Labor	HUrb02	Lvst			3.8	51.3
	PublServ	Labor	HRur01	Lvst			3.4	54.7
	PublServ	Labor	HRur02	Lvst			3.4	58.1
	OtProcFd	Lvst					3.1	61.2
	HotelRest	Lvst					1.4	62.6
	PublServ	Lvst					1.4	64
	Mill	Lvst					1.3	65.3
	Leather	ProcMeat	Lvst				1	66.3
	Silvc	Capital	HRur03	Lvst			0.9	67.2
	FoodCr	Lvst					0.7	67.9
	Silvc	Capital	HRur02	Lvst			0.7	68.6
	Mill	ProcMeat	Lvst				0.6	69.2
	Silvc	Capital	HRur04	Lvst			0.6	69.8
	FoodCr	Capital	HRur03	Lvst			0.5	70.3
	PublServ	Labor	HUrb02	OtProcFd			0.5	70.8

- This means they may capture a large percentage of gains, even from policies targeted elsewhere.



Path Decomposition (4): Urban

Target	<=Sector1	<=Sector2	<=Sector3	<=Sector4	Global	Local	Percent	Total
HUrb02	Lvst				10.5	3.6	27	27
	ProcMeat	Lvst					27.1	54.1
	HotelRest	ProcMeat	Lvst				8.1	62.2
	OtProcFd	ProcMeat	Lvst				7	69.2
	OtProcFd	Lvst					3.3	72.5
	HotelRest	Lvst					1.8	74.3
	Textiles	Capital	HRur03	Lvst			0.7	75
	RealEst	Capital	HRur03	Lvst			0.7	75.7
	OilFats	CashCr	Lvst				0.5	76.2
	Leather	ProcMeat	Lvst				0.5	76.7
	Textiles	Capital	HRur02	Lvst			0.5	77.2
	RealEst	Capital	HRur02	Lvst			0.5	77.7



IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative




3.3 Policy Simulation

- Using CGE models, we can assess a wide variety of policies *ex ante*.
- Because we develop these models with consistent macro-micro datasets, we can evaluate economywide linkages and detailed incidence such as poverty alleviation.
- Here we look at two generic kinds of scenarios:
 - Policies targeted to improve livestock production
 - Policies to improve market access




Vietnam: Poultry & Pig Promotion

Poultry: 7% annual productivity growth 2005-2015



Pig: 7% annual productivity growth 2005-2015



IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



Market Access - a Basic Policy Challenge: How to Help the Poor?

Figure 3. Map of the incidence of poverty (P_0) of each district





Figure 9. Map of the density of poverty




IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



Poverty and Related Variables



IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



Poverty and Market Access

Dual Policy Implications:

- Extreme poverty requires significant commitments to facilitating market access, including infrastructure investment and extension support.
- The majority of Viet Nam's poor, however, can be reached with more conventional enterprise instruments, like credit, marketing, and product supply-chain/quality support.



What can Trade Liberalization do for Livestock Keepers?


- Demand
 - Aggregate domestic income growth means accelerating domestic demand for meat and other animal products
 - External demand – may or may not grow, but is not likely to be a significant influence on smallholders
- Supply
 - Technology transfer
 - Capitalization from cash remittances



Scenarios for Producer Support and Trade Liberalization: Senegal

Three Generic Scenarios:

- Prod – Doubling of livestock productivity
- KSub – 20% capital subsidy to livestock sector
- TLib – Unilateral trade liberalization



IPALP

Otte, Roland-Holst, & Kazybayeva

A Living from Livestock
Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative



4. Preliminary Conclusions

- Livestock can make a substantial contribution to poverty reduction, but
- Pro-poor policies need targeting
- Livestock promotion has significant potential
 - Increase output quantity and quality
 - better market access and traceability
 - Improve distribution technology to reduce
 - margins
 - perishability (e.g. cold chains)

